## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

| United States | S OF AMERICA, |   |                         |
|---------------|---------------|---|-------------------------|
|               | Plaintiff,    |   | Case No. 2;10-cr-22     |
| v.            |               |   | HON. ROBERT HOLMES BELL |
| SIDNEY RAY    | WILLIAMS,     |   |                         |
|               | Defendant.    | 1 |                         |

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on August 11, 2010, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant SIDNEY RAY WILLIAMS entered a plea of guilty to Count 2 of the Indictment, charging defendant with Possession of an Unregistered Rifle With Barrel of Less than 16 Inches in Length in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 5861(d), in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; that the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to Count 2 of the Indictment be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty, and that the written plea agreement be considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing. It is further recommended that the order setting

conditions of defendant's release remain in effect pending sentencing. Acceptance of the plea,

adjudication of guilt, acceptance of the plea agreement, determination of defendant's status pending

sentencing, and imposition of sentence are specifically reserved for the district judge.

Date: August 11, 2010 /s/ Timothy P. Greeley

TIMOTHY P. GREELEY
United States Magistrate Judge

**NOTICE TO PARTIES** 

You have the right to <u>de novo</u> review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than fourteen (14) days after the plea hearing. *See* W.D. MICH, L.CR, R. 11.1(d).